UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/772,413	02/06/2004	Christiaan H.P. Dirks	4662-269	2078		
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 06/07/200 NDERHYE, PC	7	EXAM	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	REIMERS, ANNETTE R				
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3733			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/07/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

> 1	A	Amelia de la	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/772,413	DIRKS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	- 1
	Annette R. Reimers	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	••
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication periods:	llowing replies: (1) an amendi Notice of Appeal (with appeal ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4	which 1.31; or (3)
 a)	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date re later than SIX MONTHS from t	he mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	P 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office land are reduced any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding ne shortened statutory period for ater than three months after the n	g amount of the fee. The appropriate e reply originally set in the final Office ac	xtension fee tion; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ear a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the ap	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in	consideration and/or search elow);	(see NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a		inally rejected claims.	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		Non-Compliant Amendment (PTC	DL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	n(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 			
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is particles as the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	a) will not be entered, or provided below or appended.	b) 🗌 will be entered and an expla	nation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	but before or on the date of t and sufficient reasons why th	iling a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be e affidavit or other evidence is ned	entered cessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of file entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	to overcome <u>all</u> rejections und sary and was not earlier prese	der appeal and/or appellant fails to ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been con because:			wance
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(c) (PTO/SP/00) Docor No/c)	•	
12. Mote the attached information disclosure statement()	a). (F 10/30/30) Fapel NO(S).		

EDUARDO ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed May 17, 2007 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. Examiner maintains the rejections of the final office action mailed on March 9, 2007.

AR